

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,612 03/15/2004 | | 03/15/2004 | Todd C. Werner | 1130.32 2611 | |
| 21901 | 7590 | 12/15/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| SMITH & | HOPEN F | PA | KOHNER, MATTHEW J | | |
| 15950 BAY | VISTA D | RIVE | | | |
| SUITE 220 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CLEARWATER FL 33760 | | | | 2452 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/708,612 | WERNER, TODD | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Matthew J. Kohner | 3653 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum staturory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju | ne 2005. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | ,— | | | | | | |
| · · · · | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| · · | 8) Claim(s) sistane objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| | coconon requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | · | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant has cancelled claim 2 and amended claims 1 and 3. Currently claims 1 and 3-5 are pending. Examiner notes that in updating the previous Examiner search, the current Examiner found prior art upon which the claims read. Therefore, the allowability indicated by the previous Examiner is withdrawn. Examiner regrets the inconvenience caused by the mistaken notice of allowable subject matter. As a result of the new rejection this action is non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 4,674,736 to Tsubo.

Tsubo discloses an apparatus for separating from one another contiguous items in a substantially vertical stack that are frictionally engaged to one another, so that said items are disengaged from one another upon entering into a sheet feeder, comprising:

• a rocker plate (46) positioned at a lowermost end of said vertical stack; and

Application/Control Number: 10/708,612

Art Unit: 3653

• rocking means (86) for causing said rocker plate to oscillate as said sheet feeder operates;

said items being adapted to follow a longitudinal path of travel upon entering into said sheet feeder (see Fig. 7);

- a rocker bar (100) transversely disposed relative to said longitudinal path of travel;
- said rocker bar being mounted for pivotal movement about a transversely disposed axis (see Fig. 7; phantom lines of rocker bar);
 - said rocker-plate (46) being fixedly secured to said pivotally mounted rocker bar, and
 - said rocking means being connected (through cam 110) to said rocker bar;
- whereby items in said bin are jostled by said oscillation of said rocker plate, said jostling breaking frictional bonds between contiguous items (col. 12, lines 55-60).

In regard to claim 3, Tsubo discloses

- a drive shaft (112) transversely disposed relative to said longitudinal path of travel;
- said drive shaft rotating about its axis of rotation when said sheet feeder is operating;
- a cam (110) secured to said drive shaft for conjoint rotation therewith;
- a cam follower (108) biased to bear against said cam;
- said cam follower being connected to said rocker bar (see Fig. 7) and causing said rocker bar to reciprocate about said transversely disposed axis when said drive shaft is rotating.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,612

Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsubo.

In regard to claim 4, Tsubo discloses:

• a base plate (36) that overlies said rocker plate.

Tsubo does not disclose that is longitudinally adjustably mounted relative to said rocker plate to accommodate items in said bin of differing longitudinal extents. However, Tsubo does disclose an first support member 34 which accommodates longer sheets. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the first support member movable/extendable to accommodate longer sheets.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,612

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Kohner whose telephone number is 571-272-6939.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh can be reached on 571-272-6944. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew J. Kohner Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3653

mjk

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600